



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/573,144 | 10/13/2006 | Jan Stifter | MEISS71.027APC | 3158 |
| 20995 7590 08/27/2009 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| BLATT, ERIC D | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 3734 | | | | |
| NOTIFICATION DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 08/27/2009 | | ELECTRONIC | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com
eOAPilot@kmob.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/573,144

Applicant(s)

STIFTER ET AL.

Examiner

Eric Blatt

Art Unit

3734

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Eric Blatt.(3) Josue Villata.(2) Alejandro Munoz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 06 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 11-17 and 27-40.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lee (US 2004/0030237) and Sarin (US 2003/0153829).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed differences between Applicant's disclosed device and the disclosures of Lee and Sarin. Applicant will consider amending the claims to incorporate these elements.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/E. B./
Examiner, Art Unit 3734

/Anh Tuan T. Nguyen/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731